U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Technical Information Service
PB-285 685

Lake Weohyakapka, Polk County, Florida

(U.S.) National Eutrophication Survey, Corvallis, Oreg

Prepared for

Corvallis Environmental Research Lab, Oreg

Dec 77

PB 285 685

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON

and

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

☆G.P.O. 699-440

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. PB285685
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Report on Lake Weohyakapka, Polk County, Florida		5. REPORT DATE Prepared December 1977 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) Anonymous (National Eutrophication Survey Staff)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. Working Paper #279
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 200 S. W. 35th St. Corvallis, Oregon 97330		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 1BA029 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S. W. Washington, DC 20460		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final - 1973 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

16. ABSTRACT
Annual total phosphorus and total nitrogen loadings to the lake were estimated and subdivided according to either point or non-point source origin. An assessment of the lake's trophic condition and limiting nutrient is also provided. All data collected by the U.S.E.P.A. National Eutrophication Survey during the one year study of the lake and its tributaries are included in the report.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
eutrophication lakes nutrients phosphorus nitrogen loading	National Eutrophication Survey Lake Survey	05B 05C

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS *(This Report)*	21. NO. OF PAGES
Release Unlimited	Unclassified	41
	20. SECURITY CLASS *(This page)*	22. PRICE PC A03 MF A01

EPA Form 2220-1 (Rev. 4-77) PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE

REPORT
ON
LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
POLK COUNTY
FLORIDA
EPA REGION IV
WORKING PAPER No. 279

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
AND THE
FLORIDA NATIONAL GUARD
DECEMBER, 1977

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	ii
List of Florida Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
Sections	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	5
IV. Nutrient Loadings	9
V. Literature Reviewed	14
VI. Appendices	15

F O R E W O R D

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.

b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.

c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation for professional involvement and to the Florida National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Joseph W. Landers, Jr., Secretary of the Department of Environmental Regulation; John A Redmond, former Director of the Division of Planning, Technical Assistance, and Grants; and Dr. Tim S. Stuart, Chief of the Bureau of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Henry W. McMillan (Retired), then the Adjutant General of Florida, and Project Officer Colonel Hugo F. Windham, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Florida National Guard, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF FLORIDA

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Alligator	Columbia
Apopka	Lake, Orange
Banana	Polk
Crescent	Flagler, Putnam
Doctors	Clay
Dora	Lake
East Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Effie	Polk
Eloise	Polk
George	Putnam, Volusia
Gibson	Polk
Glenada	Highlands
Griffin	Lake
Haines	Polk
Hancock	Polk
Horseshoe	Seminole
Howell	Orange, Seminole
Istokpoga	Highlands
Jessie	Polk
Jessup	Seminole
Kissimmee	Osceola
Lawne	Orange
Lulu	Polk
Marion	Polk
Minnehaha	Orange
Minneola	Lake
Monroe	Seminole, Volusia
Munson	Leon
Okeechobee	Glades, Hendry, Martin, Okeechobee, Palm Beach
Poinsett	Brevard, Orange, Osceola
Reedy	Polk
Seminole	Jackson, FL; Decatur, Seminole, GA
Seminole	Pinellas
South	Brevard
Talquin	Gadsden, Leon
Tarpon	Pinellas
Thonotosassa	Hillsborough
Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Trout	Lake
Weohyakapka	Polk
Yale	Lake


Lake Aurora
B1 outlet
27°52'
Nuicrest/Fedhaven
Lake Loralin
Crooked Lake
Babson Park
Lake Helen
Tiger Creek
X03
LAKE
WEOHYAKAPKA
X02
A1
27°50'
Fla.
Map Location
X01
Indian Lakes
27°48'
Lake Leonore
Estates
Joiner Swamp
27°46'
LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
Tributary Sampling Site
Lake Sampling Site
Sewage Treatment Facility
0 1 2 3 4 Km.
0 1 2 Mi.
Scale
81°32'
81°30'
81°28'
Blue
81°26'
81°24'
81°22'

LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA

STORET NO. 1243

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Lake Weohyakapka is meso-eutrophic. It ranked fourth in overall trophic quality when the 41 Florida lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Ten of the lakes had less median total phosphorus, six had less and one had the same median orthophosphorus, three had less and one had the same median inorganic nitrogen, four had less mean chlorophyll a, and seven had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Survey limnologists reported the occurrence of emergent aquatic vegetation along the entire shoreline and noted the humic-brown color of the water (much of the area surrounding the lake is marsh).

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

Due to an increase in orthophosphorus and a decrease in inorganic nitrogen during shipment of the sample from the field to the laboratory, the algal assay results are not representative of conditions in the lake at the time of sampling (03/13/75).

The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in March, nitrogen limitation at stations 2 and 3 and phosphorus

---

* See Appendix A.

limitation at station 1 in September, and nitrogen limitation at all stations in November.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--The estimated nutrient contributions of point sources amounted to 10.8% of the total phosphorus and 2.4% of the total nitrogen inputs to the lake during the sampling year. Indian Lake Estates contributed 9.5% of the phosphorus and 0.9% of the nitrogen. The remainder is attributed to septic tanks along the shoreline.

Although the sampling year loading of 0.12 g/m^2 is less than the oligotrophic rate proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974; see page 13), the trophic condition of the lake is indicative of a higher loading rate. The abundant aquatic macrophytes observed may be utilizing much of the incoming phosphorus and/or the phosphorus loading may be underestimated (the nutrient loads from Indian Lake Estates are estimates, and the nutrient contribution of ground water is unknown).

2. Non-point sources--It is estimated that non-point sources accounted for 89.2% of the phosphorus and 97.6% of the nitrogen to the lake during the sampling year. Tiger Creek contributed a little more than 33% of the phosphorus and about 53% of the nitrogen. The ungaged drainage area contributed an estimated 18% of the phosphorus and 29% of the nitrogen inputs.

The 1952 U.S.G.S. Lake Weohyakapka quadrangle map indicates that land use is predominately agricultural. It would appear that the contribution from non-point sources was a large part of the total; however, the phosphorus export rate of Tiger Creek was only 9 $kg/km^2/yr$ (see page 12).

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS†

A. Morphometry††:

1. Surface area: 30.50 kilometers^2.
2. Mean Depth: 1.6 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 3.0 meters.
4. Volume: 48.80 x 10^6 m^3.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 263 days.

B. Tributary and Outlet:
(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area (km^2)*	Mean flow (m^3/sec)
Tiger Creek	136.8	1.39
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	74.9	0.76
Totals	211.7	2.15

2. Outlet -

Weohyakapka Creek	242.2	2.15

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 150.9 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 136.7 centimeters.

---

† Table of metric equivalents--Appendix B.
†† Surface area from 1970-71 Annual Progress Report, FL Game & Fresh Water Fish Comm. (Anonymous, 1971); depths estimated from soundings reported in Appendix D.
* For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175 "...Survey Methods, 1973 - 1976".
** Includes area of lake.
*** See Working Paper No. 175.

III. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Weohyakapka was sampled three times in 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from one or more depths at three stations on the lake (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 1.5 meters at station 1, 2.1 meters at station 2, and 1.2 meters at station 3.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

Reproduced from best available copy.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR LAKE MEOHYAKAPKA
STORET CODE 1243

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (3/13/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	2ND SAMPLING (9/ 5/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	3RD SAMPLING (11/ 7/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	25.3 - 27.0	26.1	25.9	27.6 - 27.8	27.7	27.7	23.3 - 23.7	23.4	23.4
DISS OXY (MG/L)	7.7 - 8.5	8.2	8.3	6.8 - 7.2	7.0	7.1	7.6 - 8.0	7.8	7.8
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	81. - 90.	84.	82.	72. - 74.	73.	74.	64. - 65.	64.	64.
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.8 - 9.0	8.3	8.2	6.1 - 6.4	6.2	6.1	6.5 - 6.7	6.5	6.5
TOT ALK (MG/L)	10. - 10.	10.	10.	10. - 10.	10.	10.	10. - 10.	10.	10.
TOT P (MG/L)	0.029 - 0.033	0.031	0.031	0.041 - 0.073	0.054	0.051	0.045 - 0.053	0.049	0.049
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.004 - 0.005	0.005	0.004	0.013 - 0.026	0.017	0.015	0.011 - 0.015	0.012	0.011
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.070	0.042	0.030	0.070 - 0.080	0.077	0.080	0.030 - 0.040	0.032	0.030
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.050 - 0.070	0.060	0.060	0.080 - 0.100	0.085	0.080	0.040 - 0.050	0.043	0.040
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.500 - 0.700	0.560	0.500	0.800 - 1.400	1.050	1.000	0.700 - 1.000	0.783	0.750
INORG N (MG/L)	0.080 - 0.130	0.102	0.090	0.150 - 0.180	0.162	0.160	0.070 - 0.080	0.075	0.075
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.530 - 0.760	0.602	0.570	0.880 - 1.480	1.127	1.075	0.730 - 1.030	0.815	0.785
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	3.3 - 5.4	4.5	4.7	5.9 - 25.7	12.8	6.8	4.8 - 7.5	6.0	5.8
SECCHI (METERS)	1.0 - 1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0 - 1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9 - 1.0	1.0	1.0

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal Units per ml
03/13/73	1. *Microcystis sp.*	506
	2. *Melosira sp.*	434
	3. *Dinobryon sp.*	239
	4. *Synedra sp.*	181
	5. Flagellates	145
	Other genera	259
	Total	1,764
09/05/73	1. Flagellates	3,593
	2. *Melosira sp.*	1,027
	3. *Cyclotella sp.*	821
	4. *Spermatozoopsis sp.*	411
	5. *Oscillatoria sp.*	308
	Other genera	1,489
	Total	7,649
11/07/73	1. *Melosira sp.*	2,076
	2. Green flagellate	1,161
	3. *Spermatozoopsis sp.*	273
	4. Pennate diatoms	170
	5. *Lyngbya sp.*	170
	Other genera	886
	Total	4,736

2. Chlorophyll *a* -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll *a* (µg/l)
03/13/73	1	4.7
	2	5.4
	3	3.3
09/05/73	1	5.9
	2	6.8
	3	25.7
11/07/73	1	4.8
	2	5.8
	3	7.5

C. Limiting Nutrient Study--There was an increase in the orthophosphorus and a decrease in the inorganic nitrogen in the assay sample between the time of collection (03/13/75) and the beginning of the assay, and the results are not considered representative of the conditions in the lake at the time of sampling.

The lake data indicate a combination of limiting nutrients, although it will be noted that stations nearest nutrient point sources (2 and 3) tended toward nitrogen limitation, while the station further away (1) tended toward phosphorus limitation.

The following is a tabulation of the mean inorganic nitrogen to orthophosphorus ratios for each of the stations and sampling times with the indicated limiting nutrient in parenthesis.

Station	03/13/73	03/05/73	11/07/73
1	52/1 (P)	19/1 (P)	6/1 (N)
2	36/1 (P)	8/1 (N)	13/1 (N-?)
3	23/1 (P)	11/1 (N)	13/1 (N-?)

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Florida National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v). Sampling was begun in March, 1973, and was completed in February, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Florida District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the nutrient loads, in $kg/km^2/year$, at station A1 and multiplying by the ZZ area in km^2.

The operator of the Nalcrest-Fedhaven wastewater treatment plant provided monthly effluent samples and corresponding flow data. This plant discharges to the stream outlet below the lake (Redgate, 1975); however, the data are included in Appendix E. The outlet loads measured at station B-1 (see map, page v) were adjusted accordingly.

* See Working Paper No. 175.

The operator of Indian Lake Estates wastewater treatment plant did not participate; nutrient loads were estimated at 1.134 kg P and 3.401 kg N/capita/yr, and flows were estimated at 0.3785 m^3/capita/day.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. Served	Treatment	Mean Flow (m^3/d)	Receiving Water
Indian Lake Estates*	300	act. sludge	113.6	Lake Weohyakapka
Nalcrest-Fedhaven**	3,400	act. sludge	646.4	Weohyakapka Creek

2. Known industrial - None

* Anonymous, 1971.
** Treatment plant questionnaire.

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg P/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Tiger Creek	1,185	33.3
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load)	650	18.3
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Indian Lake Estates	340	9.5
d. Septic tanks* -	45	1.3
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	1,340	37.6
Total	3,560	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Weohyakapka Creek 2,260

3. Net annual P accumulation - 1,300 kg.

* Estimate based on 165 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg N/yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Tiger Creek	62,365	53.3
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	34,145	29.2
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Indian Lake Estates	1,020	0.9
d. Septic tanks* -	1,760	1.5
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	17,690	15.1
Total	116,980	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Weohyakapka Creek 100,050

3. Net annual N accumulation - 16,930 kg.

D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	kg $P/km^2/yr$	kg $N/km^2/yr$
Tiger Creek	9	456

* Estimate based on 165 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Note, however, that Florida lakes may be able to assimilate phosphorus at at somewhat higher level than that suggested by Vollenweider (Shannon and Brezonik, 1972).

Essentially, Vollenweider's "dangerous" is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m^2/yr	0.12	0.04	3.8	0.6

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings ($g/m^2/yr$) based on estimated mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Lake Weohyakapka:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading)	0.30
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading)	0.15

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Anonymous, 1971. Inventory of municipal waste facilities. EPA Publ. OWP-1, vol. 4, Wash., DC.

Anonymous, 1971. Water quality investigations--1970-71 annual progress report. Fed. Aid in Fish Restor., Dingell-Johnson Proj. No. F-21-5, FL Game & Fresh Water Fish Comm., Tallahassee.

Brezonik, Patrick L. and Earl E. Shannon. 1971. Trophic state of lakes in north central Florida. Publ. No. 13, Water Res. Research Ctr., U. of FL, Gainesville.

Shannon, Earl E., and Patrick L. Brezonik, 1972. Relationships between lake trophic state and nitrogen and phosphorus loading rates. Env. Sci. & Techn. 6 (8): 719-725.

Redgate, S. C., 1975. Personal communication (point of discharge of Nalcrest-Fedhaven wastewater treatment plant). Lake Wales Utility Co., Lake Wales.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	0.626	0.260	474.000	87.733	13.100	0.386
1202	LAKE APOPKA	0.102	0.230	484.176	46.611	8.200	0.019
1203	LAKE BANANA	0.669	0.260	482.667	208.600	3.600	0.293
1206	LAKE CRESCENT	0.065	0.130	473.889	10.211	10.200	0.033
1207	DOCTORS LAKE	0.084	0.120	465.555	27.100	10.600	0.028
1208	LAKE DORA	0.102	0.240	482.889	54.978	7.400	0.022
1209	LAKE EFFIE	1.480	0.410	489.000	261.433	15.000	0.950
1210	LAKE GEORGE	0.129	0.165	469.308	35.000	11.000	0.063
1211	LAKE GIBSON	0.167	0.115	470.000	19.675	10.200	0.069
1212	GLENADA LAKE	0.134	0.165	454.167	27.667	14.700	0.072
1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	0.119	0.260	481.333	66.855	6.600	0.038
1215	LAKE HAINES	0.063	0.115	462.667	26.567	10.600	0.014
1217	LAKE HANCOCK	0.772	0.195	483.500	97.900	5.600	0.158
1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	0.034	0.130	454.000	12.067	11.500	0.023
1220	LAKE HOWELL	1.260	0.285	464.000	54.117	9.000	1.175
1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	0.039	0.120	464.222	6.594	8.600	0.010
1223	LAKE JESSUP	0.492	0.290	487.000	76.550	7.600	0.288
1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	0.034	0.145	463.667	24.142	8.800	0.007
1227	LAKE LULU	1.490	1.065	483.000	276.566	14.300	1.030
1228	LAKE MARION	0.044	0.260	468.833	29.467	7.600	0.016
1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	0.038	0.080	435.000	8.733	7.700	0.012
1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	0.018	0.070	406.333	3.333	7.400	0.009
1231	LAKE MONROE	0.188	0.300	474.555	14.225	10.800	0.128
1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	0.063	0.185	472.366	14.524	9.800	0.010
1234	LAKE POINSETT	0.085	0.150	464.000	6.500	10.600	0.051
1236	LAKE PREDY	0.033	0.330	468.500	34.837	10.600	0.008
1238	LAKE SOUTH	0.074	0.130	464.000	23.167	9.000	0.028
1239	LAKE TALQUIN	0.085	0.290	462.167	9.483	14.400	0.031

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	0.695	0.095	466.167	37.700	10.200	0.565
1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	0.246	0.200	472.917	30.633	10.500	0.152
1242	TROUT LAKE	1.110	0.650	472.000	76.967	12.900	0.970
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	0.047	0.080	458.667	7.767	8.200	0.011
1246	LAKE YALE	0.027	0.160	441.000	25.367	7.600	0.014
1247	LAKE MUNSON	1.475	0.925	486.667	140.317	12.200	0.852
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	0.234	0.175	473.833	102.000	8.600	0.026
1249	LAKE LAWNE	2.560	1.350	494.667	84.900	10.400	0.117
1250	LAKE TARPON	0.041	0.070	480.889	6.867	9.000	0.027
1252	LAKE ELOISE	0.486	0.170	465.333	70.233	12.200	0.339
1258	LAKE JESSIE	0.051	0.090	452.667	26.300	10.800	0.011
1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	0.042	0.070	440.833	5.167	9.400	0.007
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	1.260	0.140	476.000	88.200	7.400	1.210

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	25 (10)	29 (10)	30 (12)	18 (7)	10 (4)	18 (7)	130
1202	LAKE APOPKA	50 (20)	38 (15)	10 (4)	38 (15)	74 (29)	70 (28)	280
1203	LAKE BANANA	23 (9)	29 (10)	20 (8)	5 (2)	100 (40)	23 (9)	200
1206	LAKE CRESCENT	65 (26)	70 (27)	33 (13)	80 (32)	48 (18)	50 (20)	346
1207	DOCTORS LAKE	60 (24)	76 (30)	60 (24)	55 (22)	34 (12)	56 (22)	341
1208	LAKE DORA	53 (21)	35 (14)	18 (7)	33 (13)	90 (35)	68 (27)	297
1209	LAKE EFFIE	5 (2)	10 (4)	3 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	10 (4)	31
1210	LAKE GEORGE	45 (18)	54 (21)	48 (19)	43 (17)	23 (9)	43 (17)	256
1211	LAKE GIBSON	40 (16)	81 (32)	45 (18)	70 (28)	48 (18)	40 (16)	324
1212	GLENADA LAKE	43 (17)	54 (21)	85 (34)	53 (21)	3 (1)	38 (15)	276
1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	48 (19)	29 (10)	23 (9)	30 (12)	95 (38)	48 (19)	273
1215	LAKE HAINES	70 (28)	81 (32)	75 (30)	58 (23)	34 (12)	78 (31)	396
1217	LAKE HANCOCK	18 (7)	43 (17)	13 (5)	13 (5)	98 (39)	28 (11)	213
1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	93 (37)	70 (27)	80 (32)	78 (31)	20 (8)	65 (26)	406
1220	LAKE HOWELL	11 (4)	23 (9)	69 (27)	35 (14)	60 (23)	3 (1)	201
1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	85 (34)	76 (30)	65 (26)	93 (37)	69 (27)	89 (35)	477
1223	LAKE JESSUP	28 (11)	18 (7)	5 (2)	25 (10)	83 (32)	25 (10)	184
1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	90 (36)	63 (25)	73 (29)	65 (26)	65 (26)	99 (39)	455
1227	LAKE LULU	3 (1)	3 (1)	15 (6)	0 (0)	8 (3)	5 (2)	34
1228	LAKE MARION	78 (31)	29 (10)	53 (21)	50 (20)	83 (32)	73 (29)	366
1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	88 (35)	91 (36)	95 (38)	85 (34)	78 (31)	80 (32)	517
1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	100 (40)	98 (39)	98 (39)	100 (40)	90 (35)	93 (37)	579
1231	LAKE MONROE	38 (15)	15 (6)	28 (11)	75 (30)	26 (10)	33 (13)	215
1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	68 (27)	45 (18)	40 (16)	73 (29)	53 (21)	89 (35)	368
1234	LAKE POINSETT	58 (23)	60 (24)	50 (20)	95 (38)	34 (12)	45 (18)	342
1236	LAKE REEDY	95 (36)	13 (5)	55 (22)	45 (18)	34 (12)	95 (38)	337
1238	LAKE SOUTH	63 (25)	70 (27)	69 (27)	68 (27)	60 (23)	56 (22)	386
1239	LAKE TALQUIN	55 (22)	20 (8)	78 (31)	83 (33)	5 (2)	53 (21)	294

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLOMA	1S-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	20 (8)	85 (34)	58 (23)	40 (16)	48 (18)	15 (6)	266
1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	33 (13)	40 (16)	38 (15)	48 (19)	40 (16)	30 (12)	229
1242	TROUT LAKE	15 (6)	8 (3)	43 (17)	23 (9)	13 (5)	8 (3)	110
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	75 (30)	91 (36)	83 (33)	88 (35)	74 (29)	84 (33)	495
1246	LAKE YALE	98 (39)	58 (23)	90 (36)	63 (25)	83 (32)	75 (30)	467
1247	LAKE MUNSON	8 (3)	5 (2)	8 (3)	8 (3)	16 (6)	13 (5)	58
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	35 (14)	48 (19)	35 (14)	10 (4)	69 (27)	63 (25)	260
1249	LAKE LAWNE	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	20 (8)	43 (17)	35 (14)	98
1250	LAKE TARPON	83 (33)	98 (38)	100 (40)	90 (36)	60 (23)	60 (24)	491
1252	LAKE ELOISE	30 (12)	50 (20)	63 (25)	28 (11)	16 (6)	20 (8)	207
1258	LAKE JESSIE	73 (29)	88 (35)	88 (35)	60 (24)	26 (10)	84 (33)	419
1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	80 (32)	98 (38)	93 (37)	98 (39)	55 (22)	99 (39)	523
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	11 (4)	65 (26)	25 (10)	15 (6)	90 (35)	0 (0)	206

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	579
2	1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	523
3	1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	517
4	1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	495
5	1250	LAKE TARPON	491
6	1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	477
7	1246	LAKE YALE	467
8	1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	455
9	1258	LAKE JESSIE	419
10	1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	406
11	1215	LAKE HAINES	396
12	1238	LAKE SOUTH	386
13	1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	368
14	1228	LAKE MARION	366
15	1206	LAKE CRESCENT	346
16	1234	LAKE POINSETT	342
17	1207	DOCTORS LAKE	341
18	1236	LAKE REEDY	337
19	1211	LAKE GIBSON	324
20	1208	LAKE DORA	297
21	1239	LAKE TALQUIN	294
22	1202	LAKE APOPKA	280
23	1212	GLENADA LAKE	276
24	1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	273
25	1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	266
26	1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	260
27	1210	LAKE GEORGE	256
28	1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	229

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
29	1231	LAKE MONROE	215
30	1217	LAKE HANCOCK	213
31	1252	LAKE ELOISE	207
32	1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	206
33	1220	LAKE HOWELL	201
34	1203	LAKE BANANA	200
35	1223	LAKE JESSUP	184
36	1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	130
37	1242	TROUT LAKE	110
38	1249	LAKE LAWNE	98
39	1247	LAKE MUNSON	58
40	1227	LAKE LULU	34
41	1209	LAKE EFFIE	31

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 - cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR FLORIDA 8/25/75

LAKE CODE 1243 LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 242.2

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS) JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
1243A1	136.8	1.31	1.39	1.40	1.16	1.06	1.35	1.29	1.80	1.67	1.69	1.38	1.24	1.39
1243B1	242.2	1.99	1.96	2.02	1.83	1.25	1.33	1.99	2.27	3.00	3.34	2.60	2.16	2.15
1243ZZ	74.9	0.72	0.76	0.76	0.63	0.58	0.74	0.70	0.99	0.91	0.93	0.76	0.68	0.76

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 242.2
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 211.6
TOTAL FLOW IN = 25.88
TOTAL FLOW OUT = 25.75

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
1243A1	3	73	0.99	18	1.02	17	0.93		
	4	73	1.02	13	1.13	14	1.10		
	5	73	0.57	17	0.59	14	0.62		
	6	73	0.91	14	0.85	23	0.82		
	7	73	0.99	13	1.08	21	1.08		
	8	73	0.79	17	0.76	19	0.71		
	9	73	0.91	14	0.99	9	0.93		
	10	73	1.22	12	1.33	13	1.27		
	11	73	1.19	16	1.19	10	1.27		
	12	73	0.76	14	0.74	9	0.79		
	1	74	0.68	17	0.65	27	0.59		
	2	74	0.34	14	0.34	3	0.45		
1243B1	3	73	1.53	17	1.44	18	1.59		
	4	73	1.59	14	1.70	13	1.73		
	5	73	1.25	14	1.33	17	1.30		
	6	73	0.91	23	0.76	14	0.79		
	7	73	1.36	21	1.50	13	1.47		
	8	73	1.73	19	1.61	17	1.67		
	9	73	2.49	9	2.55	14	2.63		
	10	73	2.41	13	2.49	12	2.58		
	11	73	1.84	10	1.95	16	1.81		
	12	73	1.50	9	1.53	14	1.44		
	1	74	1.36	27	1.25	17	1.33		
	2	74	1.08	3	1.25	14	1.05		
1243ZZ	3	73	0.54	18	0.57	17	0.51		
	4	73	0.57	13	0.59	14	0.59		
	5	73	0.31	17	0.34	14	0.34		
	6	73	0.51	14	0.45	23	0.45		
	7	73	0.54	13	0.57	21	0.59		
	8	73	0.42	17	0.40	19	0.40		
	9	73	0.48	14	0.54	9	0.51		
	10	73	0.65	12	0.71	13	0.68		
	11	73	0.65	16	0.62	10	0.68		
	12	73	0.42	14	0.40	9	0.42		
	1	74	0.37	17	0.37	27	0.34		
	2	74	0.20	14	0.17	3	0.25		

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

124301
27 48 08.0 081 25 53.0
LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
12105 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3

2111202
0007 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/13	16 40	0000	27.0		48	82	9.00	10K	0.070	0.700	0.060	0.006
	16 40	0005	25.3	7.7		90	8.40	10K	0.060	0.500	0.070	0.004
73/09/05	10 30	0000	27.7	7.0	40	74	6.10	10K	0.100	1.400	0.080	0.013
	10 30	0005	27.6			74						
73/11/07	09 55	0000	23.7		40	64	6.70	10K	0.050	0.800	0.030	0.015
	09 55	0001	23.7	8.0		64						
	09 55	0004	23.6	7.8		65	6.50	10K	0.040	0.700	0.030	0.011

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/13	16 40	0000	0.031	4.7
	16 40	0005	0.033	
73/09/05	10 30	0000	0.073	5.9
73/11/07	09 55	0000	0.049	4.8
	09 55	0004	0.045	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

124302
27 49 15.0 081 24 55.0
LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
12105 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3

2111202
0006 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/13	16 50	0000	25.9									
	16 50	0005	25.8	8.5	40	85	8.20	10K	0.060	0.600	0.020	0.005
73/09/05	10 55	0000	27.8	7.2		81	8.20	10K	0.050	0.500	0.030	0.004
	10 55	0007	27.7	6.8	40	72	6.10	10K	0.080	1.000	0.080	0.016
73/11/07	09 43	0000	23.3			73	6.10	10K	0.080	0.800	0.080	0.026
	09 43	0001	23.3	8.0	38	64	6.50	10K	0.040	0.800	0.030	0.011
	09 43	0004	23.3	7.8		64						
						64	6.60	10K	0.040	0.700	0.030	0.011

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/13	16 50	0000	0.033	5.4
	16 50	0005	0.031	
73/09/05	10 55	0000	0.047	6.8
	10 55	0007	0.055	
73/11/07	09 43	0000	0.049	5.8
	09 43	0004	0.049	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

124303
27 50 32.0 081 24 20.0
LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
12105 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3

2111202
0004 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/13	17 15	0000	26.4	8.3	50	81	7.80	10K	0.060	0.500	0.030	0.004
73/09/05	11 15	0000	27.8	7.2	39	74	6.40	10K	0.080	1.000	0.070	0.014
73/11/07	09 30	0000	23.4		37	65	6.50	10K	0.050	1.000	0.030	0.012
	09 30	0001	23.4	7.6		65						
	09 30	0004	23.3	7.6		65	6.50	10K	0.040	0.700	0.040	0.012

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/13	17 15	0000	0.029	3.3
73/09/05	11 15	0000	0.041	25.7
73/11/07	09 30	0000	0.053	7.5
	09 30	0004	0.051	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

1243A1
27 48 30.0 081 26 30.0
TIGER CREEK
12069 7.5 LK WEOHYAKAP
I/LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
RD BRDG NEAR TIGER CREEK HAMMOCK
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/03/18	15 20		0.520	2.200	0.054	0.019	0.045
73/04/13	11 30		0.220	0.980	0.044	0.016	0.030
73/05/17	11 00		0.490	3.700	0.530	0.017	0.025
73/06/14	11 00		0.500	0.440	0.031	0.017	0.027
73/07/13	10 25		0.378	0.360	0.021	0.023	0.030
73/08/17	11 05		0.380	0.520	0.031	0.025	0.030
73/09/14	11 00		0.126	0.580	0.033	0.018	0.035
73/10/12	11 05		0.480	0.700	0.016	0.022	0.025
73/11/16	11 25		0.672	0.300	0.032	0.010	0.018
73/12/14	13 15		0.850	0.300	0.016	0.012	0.015
74/01/17	12 50		0.730	0.200	0.016	0.015	0.020
74/02/14	13 05		0.880	0.300	0.015	0.020	0.020

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/06/25

1243B1
27 52 30.0 081 24 00.0
WEOHYAKAPKA CREEK
12 7.5 LK WEOHYAKAP
O/LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA
ST HWY 60 BRDG
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/03/17	14 15		0.046	0.840	0.060	0.018	0.040
73/04/14	14 00		0.033	0.820	0.036	0.021	0.035
73/05/14	14 00		0.048	0.880	0.105	0.016	0.050
73/06/23	14 00		0.028	0.700	0.034	0.023	0.045
73/07/21	14 30		0.029	0.660	0.037	0.013	0.040
73/08/19	14 00		0.028	0.850	0.095	0.033	0.050
73/09/09	14 30		0.034	1.760	0.100	0.024	0.045
73/10/13	13 00		0.060	4.650	0.231	0.025	0.045
73/11/10	11 00		0.056	4.000	0.520	0.024	0.035
73/12/09	09 00		0.080	1.300	0.076	0.032	0.070
74/01/27	15 30		0.032	0.500	0.032	0.024	0.050
74/02/03	09 00		0.044	0.500	0.045	0.040	0.070

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

12438A TF12438A P003000
27 52 00.0 081 24 00.0
NALCREST/FEDHAVEN
12069 7.5 LK WEOPHYAKA
T/LAKE WEOPHYAKAPKA
WEOPHYAKAPKA CREEK
11EPALES 2141204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	50051 FLOW RATE INST MGD	50053 CONDUIT FLOW-MGD MONTHLY
73/02/20	08 15		5.400	1.250	0.360	5.460	5.730	0.190	0.200
73/03/20	09 00		0.055	18.000	8.100	1.400	1.500	0.180	0.190
73/04/20	08 30		0.450	9.600	0.720	2.700	2.900	0.170	0.180
73/05/21	09 30		4.950	0.600	0.290	4.600	4.600	0.170	0.170
73/06/21	09 30		5.000	0.780	0.230	4.100	4.100	0.170	0.160
73/07/19	09 30		8.500			3.570	3.600	0.160	0.160
73/08/20	09 30		14.100	1.900	0.168	4.720	4.850	0.160	0.160
73/09/21	09 30		7.300	0.950	0.021	3.500	3.800	0.150	0.160
73/10/19	09 30		11.400	0.500K	0.086	4.200	4.200	0.160	0.150
73/11/21	08 30		8.300	0.750	0.095	4.300	4.400	0.170	0.170
73/12/26	08 30		17.200	0.100K	0.040K	4.300	4.400	0.160	0.160
74/01/21	09 45		5.880	2.000	0.440	5.000	5.250	0.180	0.180
74/02/22	09 20		2.080	1.000K	0.130	6.100	6.300	0.180	0.180

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED